



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,156	10/28/2003	Paramjit Kahlon	OIC0097US	6587
60/975 7590 08/11/2008 CAMPBELL STEPHENSON LLP 11401 CENTURY OAKS TERRACE BLDG. H, SUITE 250 AUSTIN, TX 78758				
EXAMINER				
OBEID, FAHD A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3627				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/11/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/696,156

Applicant(s)

KAHLON ET AL.

Examiner

FAHD A. OBEID

Art Unit

3627

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10/28/2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-893)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

1. **Claims 1-24** are pending in this application.
2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I claims 1-24 in the reply filed on 07/21/2008 is acknowledged. Applicant cancelled claims 25-32 as being drawn to a nonelected Group II.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 1010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-24 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of copending Application No. 10/696,097.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Instant Claim

1-24

Claims in Application # 10/696,097

1-32

Regarding Claims 1-24: claims 1-24 differs from claims 1-32 in the 10/696,097 application as follows:

- Inventory location information.

The 10/696,097 application lacks extracting inventory location information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include extracting inventory locating information in the 10/696,097 application for the advantage of facilitating communications between distributors, suppliers, and manufactures to provide faster services and accurate data.

3. Claims 1-24 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-33 of copending Application No. 10/696,371.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Instant Claim

1-24

Claims in Application # 10/696,371

1-33

Regarding Claims 1-24: claims 1-24 differs from claims 1-33 in the 10/696,371 application as follows:

- Inventory location information.

The 10/696,371 application lacks extracting inventory location information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include extracting inventory locating information in the

10/696,371 application for the advantage of facilitating communications between distributors, suppliers, and manufactures to provide faster services and accurate data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. **Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katz (US 2002/0178077).**

6. Regarding Claims 1 and 9: Katz discloses a method in a computing system for managing inventory, the method comprising:

- Extracting inventory location information in a first form that is associated with a first source computerized inventory management system (internal data are extracted in a variety of formats and therefore require transformation “conversion”; internal data such as suppliers databases which includes information about product catalog data, product specifications, part numbers, prices, quantity, total net landed cost, delivery dates, lead time, PO histories,

client inventories, distributor data, transportation schedules, supply inventories, inventory targets, vendor managed inventory etc. see at least para 42).

- Converting the inventory location information in the first form into inventory location information that is in a second intermediate form (transformation is the process of mapping data from source objects onto target objects and applying conversions to the data, after the transformation have been defined, then scripts are generated which perform the function of converting and loading data into target objects; see at least para 190).
- Converting the inventory location information in the second intermediate form into inventory location information in a target form that corresponds to a target computerized inventory management system (extraction, transformation, loading, and normalization/integration of internal data and external data; software tools are used to extract data from a source data set, transform "convert" the data through a set of business and data rules, and load the data to a target data set; see at least para 54).

7. Regarding Claims 2 and 10: Katz discloses a method of claim 1, further comprising: using the inventory location information in the target form to perform at least one computer-implemented act from a set of computer-implemented acts comprising:

- Creating a new inventory location record in the target computerized inventory management system; and updating an existing inventory location record in the target computerized inventory management system (paras 39 and 201).

8. Regarding Claims 3 and 11: Katz discloses a method of claim 1, further comprising:

- Extracting inventory location information in a third form that is associated with a second source computerized inventory management system that is distinct from the first source computerized inventory management system (information is selected from one or more data source, consisting of suppliers, vendors, product databases etc. see para 42 and claims 22-23).
- Converting the inventory location information in the third form into inventory location information that is in the second intermediate form (para 190).
- Converting the inventory location information in the second intermediate form into inventory location information in the target form (para 54).

Using the inventory location information in the target form to perform at least one computer-implemented act from a set of computer-implemented acts comprising:

- Creating a new inventory location record in the target computerized inventory management system (paras 39 and 201).
- Updating an existing inventory location record in the target computerized inventory management system (paras 39 and 201).

9. Regarding Claims 4 and 12: Katz discloses a method of claim 1, wherein the second intermediate form includes a list of inventory locations class with a hierarchy of data elements (paras 122 and 208).

10. Regarding Claims 5, 13-19, and 23: Katz discloses a method of claim 4, wherein the hierarchy of data elements includes a plurality of inventory location elements, wherein each of the plurality of inventory location elements includes: an identifier for identifying the inventory location element; a base data element for defining: a location description; a location name; and a location type code; a list of addresses element for defining a plurality of address elements from a party class; a list of related business units elements for defining a plurality of business units associated with the inventory, and wherein each of the plurality of business units associated with the inventory includes an identifier element; a list of related inventory locations for defining a plurality of related inventory locations; and a custom data element for defining customized attributes for the inventory (paras 46-47, and claims 14-18).

11. Regarding Claims 6, 20, and 21: Katz discloses a method of claim 5, wherein each of the plurality of address elements includes: an address identifier element; an address base data element, wherein the address data cleansing data element includes a disable cleansing flag element; an address data cleansing data element; an address relationship data element; and an address custom data element (para 44 and 180).

12. Regarding Claims 7 and 22: Katz discloses a method of claim 6, wherein the address relationship data element includes: an address effective end date element; an

Art Unit: 3627

address occupancy type code element; an address effective start date element; an address type code element; and an address list of roles element (para 44 and 180).

13. Regarding Claims 8 and 24: Katz discloses a method of claim 5, wherein each of the plurality of related inventory locations include a related inventory location identifier element and a related inventory location type code element (paras 46 and 47).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FAHD A. OBEID whose telephone number is (571)270-3324. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/F.O./

Examiner, Art Unit 3627

08/07/2008

/Ramsey Refai/

August 7, 2008

Examiner, Art Unit 3627

for Ryan Zeender SPE